



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,376	11/26/2003	Alan D. Olin	M-16842-4P US	8032
32605 7590 11/01/2007 MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP 2033 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 400 SAN JOSE, CA 95110			EXAMINER PASCUA, JES F	
			ART UNIT 3782	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/01/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

CT

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/723,376	Applicant(s) OLIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Jes F. Pascua	Art Unit 3782	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15-24,32 and 33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5-9,11,12,15,17-21,23 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,10,13,16,22,32 and 33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 3 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: The status identifiers for claims 3 and 15 should be changed from "(Original)" to --(Withdrawn)--. Appropriate correction is required.

Terminal Disclaimer

2. The terminal disclaimers filed on 08/17/2007 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,857,779 and U.S. Patent No. 7,011,448 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimers have been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 13, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,007,246 to Kinigakis et al.

Kinigakis et al. discloses a reclosable bag, comprising: a standup bag configured to store material, the bag having a top end (28) having a heat seal and a bottom end (20) having a generally ovoid shape, a first side edge (26, 30) and a second side edge

Art Unit: 3782

each extending between the top and bottom ends and opposite each other, and a pour spout (24); wherein the first side edge (26, 30) has a non-gusseted portion, the non-gusseted portion located proximate to the top end; wherein the pour spout has a reclosable fastener (34) having at least one of a zipper or a slider, the pour spout and the reclosable fastener located along the non-gusseted portion of the first side edge and oriented substantially parallel to the first side edge (26); wherein the reclosable fastener is located closer to the top end than to the bottom end; and wherein the bag is configured to rest upon the bottom end. Kinigakis et al. further discloses accessing the reclosable fastener by tearing an outer portion of the bag along a line of weakening (see column 8, lines 22-29), which meets the recitation "a tear strip proximate to the reclosable fastener."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 10, 13 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,687,848 to Scholz et al. and the admitted prior art.

Scholz et al. discloses a bag comprising a top end heat seal, a gusseted, bottom end, opposing first and second non-gusseted side edges extending between the top

Art Unit: 3782

and bottom ends and an opening located on the first side edge, proximate to the top end and closer to the top end than to the bottom end. See Fig. 12. The opening is made reclosable by magnetic fastener 152. Furthermore, Fig. 12 shows sealed portion 156 proximate to the top end. Scholz et al. also discloses the reclosable, magnetic fastener may include a zipper (see column, 8, lines 36-39) or the reclosable fastener may be a zipper (see column 13, lines 66-67 through column 14, lines 1-3). However, Scholz et al. does not disclose the gusseted, bottom end being generally ovoid in shape. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the bottom end of Scholz et al. generally ovoid in shape or whatever shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. This statement of obviousness is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the statement in the remarks filed 03/09/2007 and 08/17/2007. Moreover, the bag of Scholz et al. meets the recitation "standup bag" to the same degree as claimed. The gusseted, bottom end of the Scholz et al. bag renders it capable of assuming a vertical or upright position.

7. Claims 4 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinigakis et al. and U.S. Patent No. 3,387,701 to Schneider et al.

Kinigakis et al. disclose the claimed device, as discussed above, except for the top end and the first side edge having an included angle measuring less than 90 degrees. Schneider et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide an included

Art Unit: 3782

angle measuring less than 90 degrees between the top end and first side edge of another dispensing bag. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the top end and first side edge of Kinigakis et al. with an included angle measuring less than 90 degrees, as in Schneider et al., in order to facilitate dispensing contents from within the bag.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 10, 13, 16, 22, 32 and 33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3782

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Jes F. Pascua
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3782

JFP